PATENT COOPERATION REATY

Erom	tha	INTER	LVIVE	IONA	RIID	
From	tne	IIN I F	SINAI	IUNINAI	RUR	EAU.

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION

(PCT Rule 61.2)

Assistant Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark

Office **Box PCT**

Washington, D.C.20231 **ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE**

Date of mailing (day/month/year)	
10 July 2000 (10.07.00)	in its capacity as elected Office

International application No. Applicant's or agent's file reference PCT/GB99/03641 PDG/21003 International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 03 November 1999 (03.11.99) 03 November 1998 (03.11.98) **Applicant**

WESTON, Martin

The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:	
X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority o	on:
02 June 2000 (02.06.00)	
in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:	•
	-
2. The election X was	
was not	
made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 a Rule 32.2(b).	pplies, within the time limit under
	•

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Pascal Piriou

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35



PCT

REC'D 12 JUL 2000

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's o	r ager	nt's file reference		Soo Notific	ation of Transmittal of International
PDG/2100	-		FOR FURTHER ACTION		y Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International		ration No	International filing date (day/mo	nth/vear)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/GB9	• •		03/11/1999	,,	03/11/1998
			tional classification and IPC		1 00, 11, 100
H04N5/14	ļ		·		
Applicant					
SNELL &	WIL	COX LIMITED et al.			
1. This in	terna	tional preliminary exami	ination report has been prepa	red by this Inte	ernational Preliminary Examining Authority
		mitted to the applicant a			,
2. This P	EPO	RT consists of a total of	7 sheets, including this cover	r sheet.	
П П	nie rei	nort is also accompanie	d by ANNEXES i.e. sheets o	f the description	on, claims and/or drawings which have
be	en a	mended and are the bas	sis for this report and/or shee	ts containing re	ectifications made before this Authority
(s	ee Ri	ule 70.16 and Section 60	07 of the Administrative Instru	uctions under t	he PCT).
These	anne	exes consist of a total of	sheets.		
<u> </u>				· · · · ·	
3. This re	eport	contains indications rela	ating to the following items:		
1	\boxtimes	Basis of the report			
11		Priority			
III		Non-establishment of o	ppinion with regard to novelty,	inventive step	and industrial applicability
IV		Lack of unity of invention	on		
V	\boxtimes		nder Article 35(2) with regard ons suporting such statement		rentive step or industrial applicability;
VI		Certain documents cite	ed		
VII		Certain defects in the in	nternational application		
VIII	\boxtimes	Certain observations o	n the international applicatior	1	
				=	<u> </u>
Date of sub	missic	on of the demand	Date	of completion o	of this report
02/06/200	00		11.0	7.2000	
		g address of the international	al Aut	norized officer	PROPER MILEU
preliminary		ning authority:			Str. M.
		pean Patent Office 298 Munich	Bro	d, R	(AM) (PEM)
	Tel.	+49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52365	6 epmu d		The state of the s
1	гах:	+49 89 2399 - 4465	Tele	phone No. +49 8	39 2399 8962

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/GB99/03641

I. Basis of the report

1.	resp	oonse to an invitati	drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in ion under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to to not contain amendments.):
	Des	scription, pages:	
	1-9		as originally filed
	Cla	ims, No.:	
	1-24	4	as originally filed
	Dra	wings, sheets:	
	1/7-	-7/7	as originally filed
2.	The	e amendments hav	e resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description,	pages:
		the claims,	Nos.:
		the drawings,	sheets:
3.		This report has b considered to go	een established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):

4. Additional observations, if necessary:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/GB99/03641

- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes:

Claims 1-24

No:

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Claims 1-24 Yes: Claims

No:

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes:

Claims 1-24

No:

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Independent claims 1,6,14,15,16,17,20,23:

(Claims 14 to 16 are no dependent claims as defined in Rule 6.4 although they contain a reference to another claim (PG-III, 3.7a).

1.1 Claim 1:

This claim relates to a method of analysing motion between adjacent fields of an interlaced video signal, comprising the steps of vertically interpolating one or both of the fields to produce respective signals for the two fields which correspond in vertical position; subtracting the signals to provide a field difference signal; and removing a component in the field difference signal which arises from vertical detail.

Closest prior art is D1: US-A-5 291 280 (XU DONG ET AL) 1 March 1994 (1994-03-01) cited in the application which discloses the detection of motion between interlaced video fields to provide a field motion signal, therein a signal formed by subtracting across a field delay is compared with a signal formed by subtracting across a delay of a field less a line. After filtering, rectifying and thresholding, the smaller of these two signals is taken as the field motion signal.

Starting from this prior art the problem arises that interpreting a difference taken across a field delay is complicated in interlace television scanning, since the lines of successively fields are vertically misaligned by one line pitch and so, where vertical detail exists, the magnitude of the difference signal will not fall to zero, even if the field corresponds to the same scene and temporal phase.

The solution provided by the subject-matter of claims 1,6,14,15,16,17,20,23 obtains an exact comparison of past and present images as disclosed in figs. 3 a to b bear of any shift by using a concept in which motion between adjacent fields of an interlaced video signal is analysed by vertically interpolating the current field to produce a line signal which corresponds in vertical position with lines from the succeeding and preceding

fields; and subtracting the signals to provide a field difference. The effect of vertical detail is at least reduced if not totally removed.

The above concept is neither known nor obvious from the documents cited in the ESR/ISR:

The remainder of the prior art is silent as to any vertical interpolation except for D2: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 007, no. 136 (E-181), 14 June 1983 (1983-06-14) & JP 58 050863 A (MATSUSHITA DENKI SANGYO KK), 25 March 1983 (1983-03-25) which discloses performing vertical interpolation where vertical correlation is small and to inhibit vertical interpolation where vertical correlation is large.

D3: EP-A-0 343 728 (PHILIPS NV) 29 November 1989 (1989-11-29) discloses motion detection based on picture signal value comparisons between picture elements (P) in consecutive, interlaced television pictures (n-2, n-1), (n, n+1), (n+2, n+3), motion or no motion, respectively being determined in dependence on the fact whether comparison results exceed or do not exceed a threshold value. Changes in picture information between movie pictures (MP1, MP2, MP3) can negatively influence the telecine television motion detection if they make use of a preceding and a subsequent television picture. To prevent this, an instantaneous picture element (P1) in a first field (n) of a television picture (n, n+1) is compared with a number (P4, P5, P6, P7) of surrounding picture elements in a second field (n+1) and an instantaneous picture element (P11) in the second field (n+1) is compared with a number (P14, P15, P16, P17) of surrounding picture elements in the first field (n).

D4: US-A-5 365 273 (CORREA CARLOS ET AL) 15 November 1994 (1994-11-15) discloses a film mode detection method and corresponding relatively non complex hardware implementation compares the amplitudes of pixels of corresponding image areas of three successive field to determine if the pixel amplitudes are monotonically increasing or decreasing. Depending on the comparison a positive or negative polarity indication is generated and these indications are combined within each field to generate a polarity indication representing each respective field. The field sequence of such field polarity indications are thereafter compared with a predetermined pattern to determine whether the video signal is from a film or video-mode source.

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

1.2 Claim 6:

This claim corresponds to claim 1 and adds the feature of taking weighted sums of lines from within the same field so as to obtain signals corresponding to similar vertical positions. Thus the same remarks as to novelty and inventive step are made.

1.3 Claim 14:

This claim is formally dependent on claim 1 and adds the feature that the apparatus is specialised for geometric transformation of television pictures for special effects in which an interpolation process is modified in response to a field difference signal. Thus also for this apparatus claim the same remarks as to novelty and inventive step are made.

1.4 Claim 15:

This claim is formally dependent on claim 1 and adds the feature that the apparatus is specialised for aspect ratio conversion of television pictures in which an interpolation process is modified in response to a field difference signal.

Thus also for this apparatus claim the same remarks as to novelty and inventive step are made.

1.5 Claim 16:

This claim is formally dependent on claim 1 and adds the feature that the apparatus is specialised for standards conversion of television pictures in which an interpolation process is modified in response to a field difference signal.

Thus also for this apparatus claim the same remarks as to novelty and inventive step are made.

1.6 Claim 17:

This claim corresponds to claim 1 and adds the feature of taking a weighted sum of contributions from one or more selected input fields for producing signal of at least two input fields and at least one pair of adjacent input fields; the field difference signal(s) being used for the selection of input fields. Thus the same remarks as to novelty and inventive step are made.

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

1.7 Claim 20:

This claim corresponds to claim 1 and adds the feature of a taking a weighted sum of contributions over a filter aperture which defines the lines and fields from which a contribution is to be taken and the weighting of each contribution and utilising the field difference signal(s) to select a filter aperture. Thus the same remarks as to novelty and inventive step are made.

1.8 Claim 23:

This claim corresponds to claim 1 and adds the feature of automatically changing the operation of a video process between a film mode in which adjacent fields are assumed to correspond to the same point in time and a video mode in which adjacent fields are assumed to correspond to different points in time; using at least three input fields yielding into the preceding, current and succeeding field difference signals; and, if after comparison the field difference signals are different, changing the selection to film mode and, if after comparison the field difference signals are similar, changing the selection to video mode. Thus the same remarks as to novelty and inventive step are made.

2. Dependent claims 2 to 5, 7 to 13, 18 to 19, 21 to 22 and 24 disclose new and inventive embodiments (Art. 33(2) to (3) PCT).

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

Although method claims 1,6,17,20 and 23 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought or in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness. Moreover, lack of clarity of the claims as a whole arises, since the plurality of independent claims makes it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, and places an undue burden on others seeking to establish the extent of the protection.

Hence, claims 1,6,17,20 and 23 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.

... A.D

PATENT COOPERATION .ATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER see Notification of (Form PCT/ISA/2	f Transmittal of International Search Report 20) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
PDG/21003 International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/GB 99/03641	03/11/1999	03/11/1998
Applicant		
	_	
SNELL & WILCOX LIMITED et	al.	
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searching Auth ansmitted to the International Bureau.	nority and is transmitted to the applicant
This lateractional Search Deport consists	of a total of 3 shoots	
This International Search Report consists It is also accompanied by	of a total of sheets. a copy of each prior art document cited in this	report.
Basis of the report		
	international search was carried out on the bas less otherwise indicated under this item.	sis of the international application in the
the international search w Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).	ras carried out on the basis of a translation of the	he international application furnished to this
		ternational application, the international search
was carried out on the basis of the contained in the internation	e sequence listing . onal application in written form.	
filed together with the inte	rnational application in computer readable forn	n.
furnished subsequently to	this Authority in written form.	
furnished subsequently to	this Authority in computer readble form.	
	osequently furnished written sequence listing d s filed has been furnished.	oes not go beyond the disclosure in the
the statement that the info furnished	ormation recorded in computer readable form is	s identical to the written sequence listing has been
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box I).	
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box II).	
4 1450		
4. With regard to the title , The text is approved as su	hmitted by the applicant	
1 = "	hed by this Authority to read as follows:	
line text has been establis	ned by this Authority to read as follows.	
i		
5. With regard to the abstract,		
	bmitted by the applicant.	
	hed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authori a date of mailing of this international search rep	
6. The figure of the drawings to be publ	ished with the abstract is Figure No.	1
X as suggested by the appli	cant.	None of the figures.
because the applicant fail	ed to suggest a figure.	
because this figure better	characterizes the invention.	
I		

International Application No PCT/GB 99/03641

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 H04N5/14 H04N7/01

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 HO4N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

<u> </u>	T	
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5 291 280 A (XU DONG ET AL) 1 March 1994 (1994-03-01) cited in the application abstract column 5, line 35 -column 7, line 28; figure 3	1,6,17, 20,23
A /	TP 0 343 728 A (PHILIPS NV) 29 November 1989 (1989-11-29) the whole document	1,6,17, 20,23
A	US 5 365 273 A (CORREA CARLOS ET AL) 15 November 1994 (1994-11-15) column 1, line 28 - line 36; figure 6	1,6,17, 20,23

χ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed in annex.
 Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance. "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed 	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
19 January 2000	25/01/2000
Name and mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31–70) 340–3016	Fuchs, P

1



International Application No PCT/GB 99/03641

ontinuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT gory ° Citation of document, with indication,where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
	1.5.5.2.18.19.514.17.19.
PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 007, no. 136 (E-181), 14 June 1983 (1983-06-14) & JP 58 050863 A (MATSUSHITA DENKI SANGYO KK), 25 March 1983 (1983-03-25) abstract	1,6,17, 20,23

1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT Information on patent family members

International Application No PCT/GB 99/03641

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5291280 A	01-03-1994	NONE	<u> </u>
EP 0343728 A	29-11-1989	NL 8801347 A DE 68917026 D DE 68917026 T JP 2025179 A US 4933759 A	18-12-1989 01-09-1994 16-02-1995 26-01-1990 12-06-1990
US 5365273 A	15-11-1994	DE 4213551 A CN 1079603 A,B DE 59308717 D EP 0567072 A ES 2120458 T JP 6105292 A SG 43991 A	28-10-1993 15-12-1993 06-08-1998 27-10-1993 01-11-1998 15-04-1994 14-11-1997
JP 58050863 A	25-03-1983	NONE	